

Neutrosophic Correlation and Simple Linear Regression

A. A. Salama¹, O. M. Khaled² and K. M. Mahfouz³

1.2.3 Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Sciences, Port Said University, 23 December Street, Port Said 42522, Egypt. Email:drsalama44@gmail.com

Abstract. Since the world is full of indeterminacy, the neutrosophics found their place into contemporary research. The fundamental concepts of neutrosophic set, introduced by Smarandache in [7, 8]. Recently, Salama et al. in [14, 15, 16, 32], introduced the concept of correlation coefficient of neutrosophic data. In this paper,

we introduce and study the concepts of correlation and correlation coefficient of neutrosophic data in probability spaces and study some of their properties. Also, we introduce and study the neutrosophic simple linear regression model. Possible applications to data processing are touched upon.

Keywords: Correlation Coefficient, Fuzzy Sets, Neutrosophic Sets, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Neutrosophic Data; Neutrosophic Simple Linear Regression

1 Introduction

In 1965 [13], Zadeh first introduced the concept of fuzzy sets. Fuzzy set is very much useful and in this one real value $\mu_A(x) \in [0,1]$ is used to represent the grade of membership of a fuzzy set A defined on the crisp set X. After two decades Atanassov [18, 19, 20] introduced another type of fuzzy sets that is called intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) which is more practical in real life situations. Intuitionistic fuzzy sets handle incomplete information i.e., the grade of membership function and non-membership function but not the indeterminate information and inconsistent information which exists obviously in belief system. Smarandache [7,8] introduced another concept of imprecise data called neutrosophic sets. Salama et al. [1] introduced and studied the operations on neutrosophic sets and developed neutrosophic sets theory in [25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32]. In statistical analysis, the correlation coefficient plays an important role in measuring the strength of the linear relationship between two variables. As the correlation coefficients defined on crisp sets have been much discussed, it is also very common in the theory of fuzzy sets to find the correlation between fuzzy sets, which accounts for the relationship between the fuzzy sets. Salama et al. [15] introduced the concepts of correlation and correlation coefficient of neutrosophic in the case of finite spaces. In this paper we discuss and derived a formula for correlation coefficient, defined on the domain of neutrosophic sets in probability spaces.

2 Terminologies

Definition 2.1 [13]

Let X be a fixed set. A fuzzy set A of X is an object having the form $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x)), x \in X\}$ where the function $\mu_A : X \to [0,1]$ define the degree of membership of the element $x \in X$ to the set A, Let X be a fixed set. An intuitionistic fuzzy set A of X is an object having the form: $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)), x \in X\}$,

Where the function: $\mu_A: X \to [0,1]$ and $\nu_A: X \to [0,1]$ define respectively the degree of membership and degree of non-membership of the element $x \in X$ to the set A, which is a subset of X and for every

$$x \in X$$
, $0 \le \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \le 1$.

Let *X* be a non-empty fixed set. A neutrosophic set (NS) *A* is an object having the form:

$$A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x), \nu_A(x)), x \in X\}$$

where $\mu_A(x)$, $\gamma_A(x)$ and $\nu_A(x)$ represent the degree of membership function, the degree of indeterminacy, and the degree of non membership function respectively of each element $x \in X$ to the set A.

In 1991, Gerstenkorn and Manko [24] defined the correlation of intuitionistic fuzzy sets A and B in a finite set $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ as follows:

$$C_{GM}(A,B) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mu_A(x_i)\mu_B(x_i) + \nu_A(x_i)\nu_B(x_i))$$
 (2.1)

and the correlation coefficient of fuzzy numbers A,B was

given by:
$$\rho_{GM} = \frac{C_{GM}(A, B)}{\sqrt{T(A) \cdot T(B)}}$$
 (2.2)

where
$$T(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mu_A^2(x_i) + \nu_A^2(x_i))$$
. (2.3)

Yu [4] defined the correlation of A and B in the collection F([a,b]) of all fuzzy numbers whose supports are included in a closed interval [a,b] as follows:

$$C_Y(A,B) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \mu_A(x) \mu_B(x) + \nu_A(x) \nu_B(x) dx$$
, (2.4)

where $\mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) = 1$ and the correlation coefficient of fuzzy numbers A,B was defined by

$$\rho_Y = \frac{C_Y(A, B)}{\sqrt{C_Y(A, A) \times C_Y(B, B)}}.$$
 (2.5)

In 1995, Hong and Hwang [[5]] defined the correlation

of intuitionistic fuzzy sets A and B in a probability space

(X, B, P) as follows:

$$C_{HH}(A, B) = \int_{Y} (\mu_A \mu_B + \nu_A \nu_B) dP$$
 (2.6)

and the correlation coefficient of intuitionistic fuzzy numbers A,B was given by

$$\rho_{HH} = \frac{C_{HH}(A, B)}{\sqrt{C_{HH}(A, A) \cdot C_{HH}(B, B)}} \,. \tag{2.7}$$

Salama et al. [14] introduce the concept of positively and negatively correlated and used the concept of centroid to define the correlation coefficient of generalized intuitionistic fuzzy sets which lies in the interval [-1, 1], and satisfy the condition

$$\mu_A(x) \wedge \nu_A(x) \leq 0.5, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

and the correlation coefficient of generalized intuitionistic fuzzy sets *A* and *B* was given by:

$$\rho_{HS} = \frac{C_{HS}(A,B)}{\sqrt{C_{HS}(A,A) \cdot C_{HS}(B,B)}}, \quad (2.8)$$

where $C_{HS} = m(\mu_A)m(\mu_B) + m(\nu_A)m(\nu_B)$ (2.9)

$$m(\mu_A) = \frac{\int x \mu_A(x) dx}{\int \mu_A(x) dx},$$

$$m(\nu_A) = \frac{\int x \nu_A(x) dx}{\int \nu_A(x) dx},$$

$$m(\mu_B) = \frac{\int x \mu_B(x) dx}{\int \mu_B(x) dx},$$

$$m(\nu_B) = \frac{\int x \nu_B(x) dx}{\int \nu_B(x) dx}$$

Salama et al. [15] defined the correlation of neutrosophic data in a finite set $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ as follows:

$$C_{HS}(A,B) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mu_A(x_i)\mu_B(x_i) + \nu_A(x_i)\nu_B(x_i) + \gamma_A(x_i)\gamma_B(x_i))$$
 (2.10)

and the correlation coefficient of fuzzy numbers A,B was

given by:

$$\rho_{HS} = \frac{C_{HS}(A, B)}{\sqrt{T(A) \cdot T(B)}} \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$T(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mu_A^2(x_i) + \nu_A^2(x_i) + \gamma_A^2(x_i)).$$
 (2.12)

$$T(B) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mu_B^2(x_i) + \nu_B^2(x_i) + \gamma_B^2(x_i)).$$
 (2.13)

Salama et al. [16] introduce the concept of positively and negatively correlated and used the concept of centroid to define the correlation coefficient of neutrosophic sets which lies in the interval [-1, 1] was given by:

$$\rho_{HS} = \frac{C_{HS}(A, B)}{\sqrt{C_{HS}(A, A) \cdot C_{HS}(B, B)}}$$
(2.14)

where

$$C_{HS} = m(\mu_{\Delta})m(\mu_{R}) + m(\nu_{\Delta})m(\nu_{R}) + m(\gamma_{\Delta})m(\gamma_{R})$$
 (2.15)

$$m(\mu_A) = \frac{\int x \, \mu_A(x) dx}{\int \mu_A(x) dx}$$

$$m(\mu_B) = \frac{\int x \, \mu_B(x) dx}{\int \mu_B(x) dx}$$

$$m(v_A) = \frac{\int x v_A(x) dx}{\int v_A(x) dx} \qquad m(v_B) = \frac{\int x v_B(x) dx}{\int v_B(x) dx}$$
$$m(\gamma_A) = \frac{\int x \gamma_A(x) dx}{\int \gamma_A(x) dx} \qquad m(\gamma_B) = \frac{\int x \gamma_B(x) dx}{\int \gamma_B(x) dx}$$

3. Correlation Coefficient of Neutrosophic Sets

Let (X, B, P) be a probability space and A be a neutrosophic set in a probability space X,

$$A = \left\{ \left(x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x), \nu_A(x) \right) \middle| x \in X \right\}, \text{ where }$$

$$\mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x), \nu_A(x) : X \rightarrow [0,1]$$
 are, respectively, Borel

measurable functions satisfying

$$^{-}0 \le \mu_A(x) + \gamma_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \le 1^{+}$$
, where -0.1^{+} is non-

standard unit interval [3].

Definition 3.1

For a neutrosophic sets *A*, *B*, we define the correlation of neutrosophic sets *A* and *B* as follows:

$$C(A,B) = \int_{X} (\mu_A \mu_B + \gamma_A \gamma_B + \nu_A \nu_B) dP. (3.1)$$

Where P is the probability measure over X. Furthermore, we define the correlation coefficient of neutrosophic sets A and B as follows:

$$\rho(A,B) = \frac{C(A,B)}{[T(A) \cdot T(B)]^{1/2}}$$
(3.2)

where $T(A) = C(A, A) = \int_{V} (\mu_A^2 + \gamma_A^2 + \nu_A^2) dP$, and

$$T(B) = C(B, B) = \int_{X} (\mu_B^2 + \gamma_B^2 + \nu_B^2) dP$$
. The

following proposition is immediate from the definitions.

Proposition 3.1

For neutrosophic sets A and B in X, we have

i.
$$C(A, B) = C(B, A)$$
, $\rho(A, B) = \rho(B, A)$.

ii. If
$$A=B$$
, then $\rho(A,B)=1$.

The following theorem generalizes both Theorem 1 [24], Proposition 2.3 [[4]14] and Theorem 1[15] of which the proof is remarkably simple.

Theorem 3.1

For neutrosophic sets *A* and *B* in *X*, we have $0 \le \rho(A, B) \le 1$. (3.3)

Proof

The inequality $\rho(A,B) \ge 0$ is evident since $C(A,B) \ge 0$ and $T(A),T(B) \ge 0$. Thus, we need only to show that $\rho(A,B) \le 1$, or $C(A,B) \le \big[T(A) \cdot T(B)\big]^{1/2}$

For an arbitrary real number k, we have

$$0 \le \int_{x} (\mu_{A} - k\mu_{B})^{2} dP + \int_{x} (\gamma_{A} - k\gamma_{B})^{2} dP + \int_{x} (\nu_{A} - k\nu_{B})^{2} dP$$

$$\begin{split} &= \int\limits_X (\mu_A^2 + \gamma_A^2 + \nu_A^2) dP - 2k \int\limits_X (\mu_A \mu_B + \gamma_A \gamma_B + \nu_A \nu_B) dP \\ &+ k^2 \int\limits_X (\mu_B^2 + \gamma_B^2 + \nu_B^2) dP \,. \end{split}$$

Thus, we can get:

$$\left(\int_{X} (\mu_{A}\mu_{B} + \gamma_{A}\gamma_{B} + \nu_{A}\nu_{B})dP\right)^{2} \leq \int_{X} (\mu_{A}^{2} + \gamma_{A}^{2} + \nu_{A}^{2})dP \cdot \int_{X} (\mu_{B}^{2} + \gamma_{B}^{2} + \nu_{B}^{2})dP$$

$$\left[C(A, B)\right]^{2} \leq T(A) \cdot T(B), C(A, B) \leq \left[T(A) \cdot T(B)\right]^{1/2}$$

Therefore, we have $\rho(A, B) \le 1$.

Theorem 3.2

 $\rho(A, B) = 1$ if and only if A = cB for some $c \in IR$.

Proof

Considering the inequality in the proof of Theorem 3.1, then the equality holds if and only if

$$P\{\mu_A=c\mu_B\}=P\{\gamma_A=c\gamma_B\}=P\{v_A=c\,v_B\}=1$$
 , for some $c\in IR$.

which completes the proof.

Theorem 3.3

 $\rho(A,B)=0$ if and only if A and B are non-fuzzy sets and they satisfy the condition: $\mu_A + \mu_B = 1$ or

$$\gamma_A + \gamma_B = 1$$
 or $\gamma_A + \gamma_B = 1$.

Proof

Suppose that $\rho(A,B)=0$, then C(A,B)=0. Since μ_A , μ_B , $+\gamma_A$, γ_B , $+v_A$, v_B , ≥ 0 , then C(A,B)=0 implies $P(\mu_A$, μ_B , $+\gamma_A$, γ_B , $+v_A$, v_B , = 0)=1, which means that $P(\mu_A$, μ_B , = 0)=1, $P(\gamma_A$, γ_B , = 0)=1 and $P(v_A$, v_B , = 0)=1. If μ_A , μ_B , μ_B , then we can get μ_B , μ_B ,

sets and $\mu_A + \mu_B = 1$. If $\mu_A(x) = 1$, then we can $\mu_B(x) = 0$ and $\gamma_A(x) = \nu_A(x) = 0$. On the other hand, if $\mu_B(x) = 1$, then we can have $\mu_A(x) = 0$ and $\gamma_B(x) = \nu_B(x) = 0$, which implies C(A, B) = 0. Similarly

we can give the proof when $\gamma_A + \gamma_B = 1$ or $\nu_A + \nu_B = 1$.

Theorem 3.4

If A is a non-fuzzy set, then T(A) = 1.

The proof is obvious.

Example

For a continuous universal set X = [1,2], if two neutrosophic sets are written, respectively.

$$A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) | x \in [1,2] \},$$

$$B = \{(x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x), \gamma_A(x)) | x \in [1,2] \},$$

$$\begin{split} \mu_A(x) &= 0.5(x-1), & 1 \leq x \leq 2 \quad , \\ \mu_B &= 0.3(x-1), & 1 \leq x \leq 2 \\ v_A(x) &= 1.9 - 0.9x, & 1 \leq x \leq 2 \quad , \\ v_B &= 1.4 - 0.4x, & 1 \leq x \leq 2 \\ \gamma_A(x) &= (5-x)/6, & 1 \leq x \leq 2 \quad , \\ \gamma_B(x) &= 0.5x - 0.3, & 1 \leq x \leq 2 \end{split}$$

Thus, we have C(A, B) = 0.79556, T(A) = 0.79593) and T(B) = 0.9365.6. Then we get $\rho(A, B) = 0.936506$.

It shows that neutrosophic sets *A* and *B* have a good positively correlated.

4. Neutrosophic linear regression model

Linear regression models are widely used today in business administration, economics, and engineering as well as in many other traditionally non-quantitative fields including social, health and biological sciences. Regression analysis is a methodology for analyzing phenomena in which a variable (output or response) depends on other variables called input (independent or explanatory) variables. Function is fitted to a set of given data to predict the value of dependent variable for a specified value of the independent variable. However, the phenomena in the real world cannot be analyzed exactly, because they depend on some uncertain factors and in some cases, it may be appropriate to use neutrosophic regression. Tanaka et al. (1982) [8] proposed the first linear regression analysis with a fuzzy model. According to this method, the regression coefficients are fuzzy numbers, which can be expressed as interval numbers with membership values. Since the regression coefficients are fuzzy numbers, the estimated dependent variable is also a fuzzy number. A collection of recent papers dealing with several approaches to fuzzy regression analysis can be found in Kacprzyk and Fedrizzi (1992)[17]]. Other contributions in this area are by Diamond (1988)[22], Tanaka and Ishibuchi (1991)[11], Savic and Pedrycz (1991)[16] and Ishibuchi (1992) [9]. Yen et al. (1999) [12] extended the results of a fuzzy linear regression model that uses symmetric triangular coefficient to one with non-symmetric fuzzy triangular coefficients.

In this section we will define the simple linear regression in neutrosophic set.

Definition 4.1

Assume that there is a random sample $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \in X$, alone with the sequence of data;

arone with the sequence of data,
$$((\mu_A(x_1), \gamma_A(x_1), \nu_A(x_1)), (\mu_B(x_1), \gamma_B(x_1), \nu_B(x_1)), \dots, \\ (\mu_A(x_n), \ (\mu_B(x_n), \gamma_B(x_n), \ \nu_B(x_n)), \ \text{as defined in} \\ \text{Definition 2.3, } \ \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x), \nu_A(x) \text{ represent the degree} \\ \text{of membership function (namely } \ \mu_A(x)), \ \text{the degree of} \\ \text{non-membership (namely } \ \nu_A(x)), \ \text{and the degree of} \\ \text{indeterminacy (namely } \ \gamma_A(x)) \text{ respectively of each} \\ \text{element } \ x \in X \text{ to the set } A. \text{ also } \ \mu_B(x), \gamma_B(x), \nu_B(x) \\ \text{represent the degree of membership function (namely } \\ \mu_B(x)), \ \text{the degree of non-membership (namely } \ \nu_B(x)), \\ \text{and the degree of indeterminacy (namely } \ \gamma_B(x)) \\ \text{respectively of each element } \ x \in X \text{ to the set } B. \\ \text{Consider the following simple neutrosophic linear regression model: } A_i = \alpha B_i + \beta, \\ \end{array}$$

where X_i denotes the independent variables, b the estimated neutrosophic intercept coefficient, a the estimated neutrosophic slope coefficients and Y_i the estimated neutrosophic output. As classical statistics linear regression we will define the neutrosophic coefficients a and b

$$\alpha = \frac{C(A, B)}{C(A, A)}$$
 and $\beta = E(\overline{Y}) - \alpha E(\overline{X})$,

where C(A, B) define in [15] as follows

$$C(A,B) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mu_{A}(x_{i})\mu_{B}(x_{i}) + \nu_{A}(x_{i})\nu_{B}(x_{i}) + \gamma_{A}(x_{i})\gamma_{B}(x_{i})),$$

$$E(\overline{Y}) = \frac{1}{3} (\overline{\mu}_{B}(x_{i}) + \overline{\gamma}_{B}(x_{i}) + \overline{\nu}_{B}(x_{i})), \text{and}$$

$$E(\overline{X}) = \frac{1}{3} (\overline{\mu}_{A}(x_{i}) + \overline{\gamma}_{A}(x_{i}) + \overline{\nu}_{A}(x_{i})),$$

$$\overline{\mu}_{A}(x_{i}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{A}(x_{i}), \overline{\mu}_{B}(x_{i}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{B}(x_{i}),$$

$$\overline{\gamma}_{A}(x_{i}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{A}(x_{i}), \qquad \overline{\gamma}_{B}(x_{i}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{B}(x_{i})$$

$$\overline{\nu}_{A}(x_{i}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_{A}(x_{i}), \qquad \overline{\nu}_{B}(x_{i}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_{B}(x_{i}).$$

Example 4.1

In example [15], we comput that C(A, B) = 0.88, C(A, A) = T(A) = 0.83,

$$\begin{split} \overline{\mu}_A(x_i) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_A(x_i) = 0.4 \;, \\ \overline{\mu}_B(x_i) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_B(x_i) = 0.3 \;, \\ \overline{\gamma}_A(x_i) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_A(x_i) = 0.3 \;, \\ \overline{\gamma}_B(x_i) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_B(x_i) = 0.35 \;, \\ \overline{\nu}_A(x_i) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_A(x_i) = 0.35 \;, \\ \overline{\nu}_B(x_i) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_B(x_i) = 0.6 \;. \\ \overline{\nu}_B(x_i) &= \frac{1}{3} (\overline{\mu}_B(x_i) + \overline{\gamma}_B(x_i) + \overline{\nu}_B(x_i)) = 0.42 \;, \\ \text{and} \; E(\overline{X}) &= \frac{1}{3} (\overline{\mu}_A(x_i) + \overline{\gamma}_A(x_i) + \overline{\nu}_A(x_i)) = 0.35 \;, \\ \text{then} \; \alpha = 1.06 \; \text{and} \; \beta = 0.49 \end{split}$$

Then neutrosophic linear regression model is given by

$$A_i = 1.06B_i + 0.49$$

Conclusion

Our main goal of this work is propose a method to calculate the correlation coefficient of neutrosophic sets which lies in [0, 1], give us information for the degree of the relationship between the neutrosophic sets. Further, we discuss some of their properties and give example to illustrate our proposed method reasonable. Also we get the simple linear regression on neutrosophic sets.

References

- [1] A.A. Salama and S.A. Alblowi, Generalized Neutrosophic Set and Generalized Neutrousophic Topological Spaces, Journal computer Sci. Engineering, Vol. (2) No.(7), (2012)pp129-132.
- [2] A.A .Salama and S.A. Alblowi, Neutrosophic set and neutrosophic topological spaces, IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM), 3(4),(2012)pp31-35.
- [3] A. Robinson, Non-Standard analysis, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.
- [4] C. Yu, (1993), Correlation of fuzzy numbers, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 55(1960)pp303-307.
- [5] D. H. Hong, S.Y. Hwang, Correlation of Intuitionistic fuzzy sets in probability spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 75(1995)pp77-81.
- [6] D. Savic and W. Pedrycz, Evaluation of fuzzy regression models, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 39, (1991)pp51–63.

- [7] F. Smarandach, A new branch of philosophy, in multiple valued logic, An International Journal, 8(3)(2002)pp 297-384.
- [8] F. Smarandach, Neutrosophic set a generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Proceedings of the third conference of the European Society for fuzzy logic and Technolgye, EUSFLAT, Septamper Zittau Geamany; Univ. of Applied Sciences at Zittau Goerlit 2,(2003)pp141-146.
- [9] H. Ishibuchi, Fuzzy regression analysis". Japan Journal of Fuzzy Theory and Systems, 4, (1992)137– 148
- [10] H. Tanaka, S. Uejima & K. Asai, "Linear regression analysis with fuzzy model". IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, 12(6), (1982) 903– 907
- [11] H. Tanaka and H. Ishibuchi, Identification of possibilistic linear systems by quadratic membership functions of fuzzy parameters". Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 41, (1991)pp145–160.
- [12] K. K. Yen, S. Ghoshray and G. Roig, A linear regression model using triangular fuzzy number coefficients, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 106,(1999)pp67–177.
- [13] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets". Information and Control, 8 (1965) 338-353.
- [14] I. M. Hanafy, A. A. Salama and K. M. Mahfouz, Correlation coefficient of generalized intuitionistic fuzzy set, IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering (IOSR-JMCE), 3(5)(2012)pp11-14.
- [15] I. M. Hanafy, A. A. Salama and K. M. Mahfouz, Correlation of neutrosophic data, International Refereed Journal of Engineering and Science, 1(2),(2012)pp39-43.
- [16] I. M. Hanafy, A. A. Salama and K. M. Mahfouz, Correlation coefficient of Neutrosophic Sets by Centroid Method, International Journal of Probability and Statistics, 2(1), (2013)pp 9-12.
- [17] J. Kacprzyk and M. Fedrizzi, Fuzzy regression analysis". Heidelberg: Physica-Verlag, (1992).
- [18] K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Central Tech. Library, Bulgarian Academy Science, Sofia, Bulgaria, Rep. No. 1697/84,(1983).
- [19] K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Proc. Of Polish Symp, On Interval and Fuzzy mathematics, Poznan, (1983)pp23-26.
- [20] K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20,(1986)pp87-96.
- [21] M. Masjed-Jamei, S. S. Dragomir, H.M. Srivastava, Some generalizations of the Cauchy-Schwarz and the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky inequalities involving four free parameters and their applications, RGMIA Res. Rep. Coll., 11 (3), Article 3, (2008)pp.1–12.

- [22] P. Diamond, Fuzzy least squares, Information Sciences, 46, (1988)pp141–157.
- [23] S. S. Dragomir, Discrete inequalities of the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz type, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., Hauppauge, NY, (2004).
- [24] T. Gerstenkorn, J. Manko, Correlation of intuitionistic fuzz sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 44,(1991)pp 39-43.
- [25] A.A. Salama, Haithem A. El-Ghareeb, Ayman. M. Maine and Florentin Smarandache. Introduction to Develop Some Software Programs for dealing with Neutrosophic Sets, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, 2014,Vol(3), (2014)pp51-52.
- [26] A. A. Salama, Florentin Smarandache and Valeri Kroumov. Neutrosophic Closed Set and Neutrosophic Continuous Functions Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol.(4), (2014)pp4-8.
- [27] A. A. Salama, Florentin Smarandache and S. A. ALblowi, New Neutrosophic Crisp Topological Concepts, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol(4), (2014)pp50-54.
- [28] A.A. Salama, and H. Elagamy, Neutrosophic Filters International Journal of Computer Science Engineering and Information Technology Research (IJCSEITR), Vol.3, Issue(1), (2013) pp307-312.
- [29] S. A. Alblowi, A. A. Salama and Mohmed Eisa, New Concepts of Neutrosophic Sets, International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Applications Research (IJMCAR), Vol. (3), Issue 4, (2013)pp 95-102.
- [30] A. A. Salama, Neutrosophic Crisp Points & Neutrosophic Crisp Ideals, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol.1, No. 1, (2013) pp50-54.
- [31] A. A. Salama and F. Smarandache, Filters via Neutrosophic Crisp Sets, Neutrosophic Sets and Systems, Vol.1, No. 1,(2013) pp 34-38.
- [32] I. M. Hanafy, A. A. Salama, O. M. Khaled and K. M. Mahfouz Correlation of Neutrosophic Sets in Probability Spaces, JAMSI,Vol.10,No.(1),(2014) pp45-52.

Received: May 23, 2014. Accepted: June 30, 2014.